in regard thereto. One meeting of the Committee was held on 13.2.92 for this purpose. These mechanisms would be utilised during 1992-93 as well.

Statement

Infrastructure Performance (April '91—January '92)

	Sector	1991-42	Apr.'91-	Actual		% variation of Apr. 91- actual over	
				Apr.'91-Jan.'92	April '90-lan. '91	Apr.'91-Jan.'92 Target	Apr. Jan.'91 Actual
		Target	Jan.'92 Target				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1.	Power (BU) Total	283.450	234.909	236.846	218.042	0.8	8.6
2	Coal (MT) Total	228.463	180.350	177.920	160.750	- 1.3	10.7
3	Steel (MT)						
	i) Not metal	12.990	10.688	10.769	9.981	0.8	7.9
	ii) Ingot	12.310	10.186	9.946	9.023	- 2.4	10.2
	iii) Saleable Steei	10.020	8.242	8.216	7.502	- 0.3	9.5
	iv) Saleable pig iron	0.873	0.636	0.722	0.740	13.5	- 2.4
4.	Rly. Revenue Traffic (MT)	335.000	273.00	275.760	257.020	1.0	7,3
5.	Cement (MT)	55.000	46.265	43.814	39.810	- 5.3	10.1
6.	Fertilizer (MT) (N + P) Total	10.000	8.351	8.200	7.512	- 1.8	9.2
7.	Petroleum (MT)						
	i) Crude oil	34.060	26.054	25.463	27.551	- 2.3	- 7,6
	ii) Refinery Throughput-	50.915	42.635	42.190	42.952	- 1.0	- 1.8
8.	Cargo handled at major ports (MT)	155. 000	127.016	128.553	125.363	1.2	2.5
9.	Telecommunications (000 Nos.)						
	i) Telephone Switching equip.	_	644.100	636.300	542.700	- 1.2	17.2
	ii) Tele. Instru. Prod.	1000.000	569.000	371.600	591.900	-34.7	-37,2
	iii) New Tele, connections in monitored sector	251.560	177.293	167.932	109.220	- 5.3	53.8

= Pro-rata target

BU = Billion Units

MT = Million Tonnes

परियोजनाओं के निर्माण में विलम्ब की जांच करने के लिए समिति

2783. **श्री राम जेठमलानी:**
डा० जिनेन्द्र कुमार जैन:

क्या **योजना और कार्यक्रम कार्यान्वयन** मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

(क) क्या यह सच है कि सरकार ने उन बड़ी और मझौली परियोजनाओं की जिनके निर्माण में विलम्ब हो जाने के फलस्वरूप लागत बढ़ गई है, समस्याओं का अध्ययन करने तथा उपचारी उपाय सुझाने के लिए कोई उच्च स्तरीय समिति गठित की है;

(ख) यदि हां, तो इस समिति के सदस्यों के नाम क्या-क्या हैं,

(ग) क्या इस समिति से निर्धारित समय के भीतर अपना प्रतिवेदन प्रस्तुत करने का अनुरोध किया गया है; और

(घ) यदि नहीं, तो इसके क्या कारण हैं?

योजना और कार्यक्रम कार्यान्वयन मंत्रालय के

राज्य मंत्री (श्री हंसराज भारद्वाज): (क) से (घ) जी, नहीं। तथापि ऐसी परियोजनाओं की विलम्ब की समस्याओं और लागत वृद्धि की समीक्षा समय-समय पर निम्नलिखित द्वारा की जाती है:—

(i) आधारी संरचना पर मंत्रीमंडल समिति

(ii) सचिवों की समितियों।

(iii) सचिव, योजना आयोग की अध्यक्षता में कार्य दल द्वारा।

(iv) सार्वजनिक निवेश बोर्ड।

Employment prospects in Rural and Urban Areas

2784. SHRI SURESH PACHOURI: SHRI DHULESHWAR MEENA:

Will the Minister of PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have dropped the right to work and the employment guarantee schemes;

(b) whether Government have also revised downwards the employment growth rate for the Eighth five year plan;

(c) if so, what are the reasons therefore; and

(d) whether Government propose to enhance employment prospect both in rural and urban areas for skilled and unskilled labour?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI H. R. BHARDWAJ): (a) Right to Work forms a part of the Directive Principles of State Policy in the Constitution. The Government is endeavouring for its attainment by pursuing the goal of full employment in the next ten years. There are several special employment programme, e.g. *IRDP, **JRY, NRY ***AND ****SEPUP in operation for some years. None of them are being dropped. There is no Employment Guarantee scheme on all India level in operation. *IRDP= Integrated Rural Development Programme.
**JRY=Jawahar Rozgar yojana.
***NRY=Nehru Rozgar Yojana.
****SEPUP=Self Employment Programme for Urban Poor.

(b) to (d) Details of employment growth, strategy and programmes will be incorporated in the Eighth Plan document which is under finalisation. The Plan envisages acceleration of employment generation in growth process. Special employment programmes are also proposed to be suitably used to supplement the employment generated in rural and urban areas for skilled and unskiled labour.

Weightage to certain items included in Special Development Problems

2785. SHRI RAM DAS AGARWAL: Will the Minister of PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION be pleased to state:

(a) whether any request has been received by the Planning Commission from the Government of Rajasthan regarding weightages to be assigned to certain items included in Special Development Problems on 13th December, 1990 and on 6th January, 1992;

(b) if so, the details thereof and the action taken by Government thereon so far; and

(c) what is State-wise basic data used particularly for the performance and Special Development Problems criteria; whether Rajasthan Government has been apprised of the same by the Commission?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI H. R. BHARDWAJ): (a) to (c) Yes, Sir. The State Government of Rajasthan have suggested substantial weights for Special Development Problems more relevant to Rajasthan State such as desert and low density. Details of the requested weights to the Special Development Problems are given in the statement attached *(see* below)

Views of the State Governments are being sought on the specific indicators and weight to be assigned to them in respect of the performance criterion with 7.5% overall weight. In the formula approved by the National Development Council in December, 1991, the Special